

CAMPAIGN FOR CLEAN AIR IN LONDON

“The cross-party campaign to achieve urgently and sustainably at least World Health Organisation recommended standards of air quality throughout London”

Campaign website: www.cleanairinlondon.org

Consultation responses required by 28 October 2008

The Rt. Hon. Hilary Benn MP
Secretary of State
Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
Nobel House
17 Smith Square
London SW1P 3JR

The Rt. Hon. Geoff Hoon MP
Secretary of State
Department for Transport
Great Minster House
76 Marsham Street
London SW1P 4DR

By email to: euairquality@defra.gsi.gov.uk

25 October 2008

Dear Secretaries of State for Defra and DfT (and Defra consultation team),

Consultation on UK report regarding plans and programmes to meet EU air quality limit values for nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) (reporting year 2006)

Government is making no meaningful effort to comply with limit values for NO₂ by January 2010 and has no date planned for eventual compliance

Government has no contingency plans to address time extension obligations that will arise under the new Air Quality Directive if it breaches limit values for NO₂ in 2010. For example, airports are not mentioned in the whole draft report

Government is seeking to comply ‘selectively’ with reporting timetables

The purpose of this letter is to respond on behalf of the Campaign for Clean Air in London (CCAL) to the consultation being carried out by the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra) on the United Kingdom (UK) and Devolved Administrations’ draft report on plans and programmes to meet European Union (EU) ambient air quality limit values (limit values) for nitrogen dioxide (NO₂). The consultation documents can be found at:

<http://www.defra.gov.uk/corporate/consult/air-limitvalues2006/index.htm>

The letter is addressed jointly to the Secretary of State for Transport because CCAL understands that the Department for Transport (DfT) has joint responsibility for the UK meeting its legal obligations in respect of air quality.

The Prime Minister has been copied, given the cross-departmental issues raised and all London’s MEPs and others have been copied given the imminence of the related deadline of 31 October 2008 for notifying requests to the European Commission (the Commission) for time extensions to meet the corresponding limit values for PM₁₀.

Page 1 of 10 pages

CAMPAIGN FOR CLEAN AIR IN LONDON

“The cross-party campaign to achieve urgently and sustainably at least World Health Organisation recommended standards of air quality throughout London”

Campaign website: www.cleanairinlondon.org

Summary

The Campaign for Clean Air in London notes the obligation under EU legislation referred to in the consultation document for the government to produce plans and programmes to ensure compliance with the limit values “within the required timescale”. CCAL considers the last few words unnecessarily vague since the obligations must be met by January 2010 (under 1999 legislation) or January 2015 (if the UK is granted a conditional time extension under the new EU Directive on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe (the new AQ Directive) that entered into force on 11 June 2008).

CCAL has three main concerns with the draft report which is the subject of this consultation. First, it does not show or refer to any expected date by which the UK will ensure compliance with either of the limit values for NO₂. Second, the plans and programmes currently proposed together are clearly insufficient to achieve the limit values for NO₂ or indeed to make any reasonable effort to do so by the required date of January 2010 (or January 2015). Third, the report includes no contingency plans to comply with the time extension obligations for NO₂ under the new legal regime if the UK fails to meet the limit values for NO₂ by January 2010 (as currently seems likely) e.g. Article 22 paragraph 3 and/or the January 2015 deadline. CCAL is disappointed that the first two points have not been addressed in the current report when it raised them in the identical consultation some 12 months ago.

In CCAL’s view, the report does not meet the requirements of Annex IV of the relevant EU Directive. CCAL is therefore copying this letter to Commissioner Dimas and urging him please to reject the UK’s report and to commence necessary enforcement action against the UK for failing to meet its reporting requirements - unless these points are addressed fully in the submission as required by 31 December 2008.

In CCAL’s view, the Commission could commence legal action against the UK for breaching limit values for NO₂ as soon as there is sufficient evidence that breaches of the hourly limit value have occurred. Results from 2008 indicate that this could happen as early as 31 January 2010.

The Campaign for Clean Air in London

The Campaign for Clean Air in London has only one aim which is to achieve urgently and sustainably at least World Health Organisation (WHO) recommended standards of air quality throughout London. Given that most of these have been required to be met since 1999 legislation by January 2005 (in respect of coarse particulate matter i.e. PM₁₀) and January 2010 (for nitrogen dioxide i.e. NO₂), CCAL’s immediate priority is to press for air quality laws to be complied with fully in each year leading up to the London 2012 Summer Olympics.

CCAL has received support from Mayor Johnson (and previously Mayor Livingstone), leading politicians from the four main political parties in London as well as leading business and community groups including the Central London Partnership, London First and The Knightsbridge Business Group. We have also received a Pledge of support from Environmental Protection UK (formerly the National Society for Clean Air and Environmental Protection). Our campaign website address is shown in the letterhead above.

Page 2 of 10 pages

CAMPAIGN FOR CLEAN AIR IN LONDON

“The cross-party campaign to achieve urgently and sustainably at least World Health Organisation recommended standards of air quality throughout London”

Campaign website: www.cleanairinlondon.org

UK government is exploiting the old legal regime for NO₂ and the new one for PM₁₀

CCAL notes that, in 2007, Defra was required by EU legislation to report on plans and programmes to meet EU Limit Values for particulate matter (PM₁₀) and NO₂ by 31 December 2007.

CCAL understands, following the entry into force of the new AQ Directive, that the Commission has allowed the UK to make a submission in 2008 only for NO₂ in order not to duplicate the work to be undertaken as part of the separate time extension (or enforcement) process for PM₁₀.

In CCAL's view, the UK government has exploited cynically the Commission's practical approach by: submitting its NO₂ reply under the old legal regime (ignoring the obligations that will need to be met under the new AQ Directive); and planning to submit its PM₁₀ reply under the new legal regime (ignoring the deadline of 31 December 2008 that would have been required under the old legal regime). Worse still, when the Commission allowed the UK to submit only plans and programmes for NO₂ as part of the current annual process, it announced that the UK (and other Member States in breach of Limit Values for PM₁₀) should “provide information by 30 September 2008 on the steps they are taking to achieve compliance [with limit values for PM₁₀]”. Further, the Commission made “clear that Member States are expected to notify requests for time extensions by 31 October 2008. Failure to achieve either compliance with the standard or to submit notifications by that date will lead to legal action against the Member State concerned”. CCAL understands that the government plans to miss, by many months, the 30 September and 31 October deadlines for PM₁₀.

How can the government justify needing to undertake a public consultation on PM₁₀ plans and programmes in the first half of 2009 when it would have had to have conducted just such a consultation - to the same time as this current NO₂ consultation (which closes on 28 October 2008) - if the Commission had not given the UK dispensation? Worse still, while ignoring the Commission's directly inter-related requirements?

From Defra's plans and programmes consultation document

The key technical information on exceedances of the limit values plus margin of tolerance for NO₂ (LV + MOT) from the consultation document includes:

- **NO₂ Hourly mean concentration** – Exceedance of the LV + MOT at Marylebone Road with 203 exceedances (compared to 18 allowed).
- **NO₂ Annual mean concentration** – 41 exceedances in the UK of the LV + MOT with an estimated 765,443 people and 86 km² exposed to a level above the Limit Value in London alone.

CCAL is concerned that the above picture creates a misleading impression for the Commission since: data from many sites in the excellent LAQN is apparently excluded from the sample; not all of those even monitor all air pollutants; and not enough monitoring takes place. Please note, for example, the busy Brompton Road which the LAQN says had 112 exceedances of the hourly mean plus MOT (i.e. greater than 240

CAMPAIGN FOR CLEAN AIR IN LONDON

“The cross-party campaign to achieve urgently and sustainably at least World Health Organisation recommended standards of air quality throughout London”

Campaign website: www.cleanairinlondon.org

$\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) for NO_2 in 2006 (compared to 18 allowed). With a high correlation also between PM_{10} air pollution levels and NO_2 such sites should appear in both sets of data submitted by Defra to the Commission.

What plans and programmes?

In the Annexes detailing the plans and programmes proposed by Defra, some 16 specific items are mentioned. These are:

1. PP001 – The Air Quality Strategy for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland

On time scale of reduction, Defra says: “Time scale of delivery is the same as that required by the Directive”.

Question: How can the government make this statement when the UK currently has no plans to comply with limit values for NO_2 by either January 2010 (as required under 1999 legislation) or January 2015 (if the UK is granted a conditional time extension under the new AQ Directive)?

2. PP002 - Action Plans drawn up by Local Authorities under the system of Local Air Quality Management

On time scale of reduction, Defra says: “Time scale of delivery is the same as that required by the Directive”.

Question: See 1 above. Also, please note that the Mayor of London and Local Authorities are under no legal duty to achieve the limit values.

3. PP003 – IPCC implementation guidelines

Defra says nothing on the time scale of reduction.

4. PP004 – Local Authority/SEPA Pollution Prevention and Control

On time scale of reduction, Defra says: “Various for different measures”.

Question: What is the final deadline proposed?

5. PP005 Reduced Pollution Certificate

On time scale of reduction, Defra says: “As above [i.e. by 2009]”

CCAL notes this incentive and encourages Defra to extend it to other vehicle types.

6. PP006 Smarter Choices and Sustainable Travel Towns

CAMPAIGN FOR CLEAN AIR IN LONDON

“The cross-party campaign to achieve urgently and sustainably at least World Health Organisation recommended standards of air quality throughout London”

Campaign website: www.cleanairinlondon.org

On time scale of reduction, Defra says: “Not determined”.

Question: How can CCAL comment on the effectiveness of this measure?

7. PP007 – Climate Change Measures, including low carbon cars

On time scale of reduction, Defra says: “Various”.

Question: How can CCAL comment on the effectiveness of this measure?

8. PP008 – National Indicator 194 on PM₁₀ and NO_x emissions from local authority operations

On time scale of reduction, Defra says: “Annual”.

Question: What sort of deadline is this?

9. PP009 London Congestion Charge

On timescale of reduction, Defra says: “Ongoing”.

Question: What sort of deadline is this?

10. PP010 – London bus and taxi emission standards

On time scale of reduction, Defra says: “Various.”

Question: Please note that London has no Taxi Emissions Strategy to replace or overlap with that which expired on 30 June 2008.

11. PP011 – Best practice guidance on dust and emissions from construction and demolition

On time scale for reduction, Defra says: “Ongoing.”

Question: What sort of deadline is this?

12. PP012 London Low Emission Zone

On time scale of reduction, Defra says: “Ongoing”.

Question: What sort of deadline is this? Please highlight the fact that the London Low Emission Zone requires only that vehicles meet the Euro emission standards of 3/III and 4/IV for particulate matter (not NO_x) i.e. they will have a very small positive impact on NO₂ (or NO_x). For this reason, CCAL considers the current reference to be quite misleading.

CAMPAIGN FOR CLEAN AIR IN LONDON

“The cross-party campaign to achieve urgently and sustainably at least World Health Organisation recommended standards of air quality throughout London”

Campaign website: www.cleanairinlondon.org

13. PP013 Greater Manchester Congestion Charge

On time scale of reduction, Defra says: “By 2013”.

CCAL notes that this date is way after the January 2010 deadline required to be met under this consultation. Furthermore, CCAL considers that this reference is misleading because it does not state that the measure is contingent on further approvals.

14. PP014 Incentivising early uptake of Euro-standards

Defra says nothing on the time scale of reduction.

Question: How can we comment on this?

15. PP015 – Increased uptake of low emission vehicles

Defra says nothing on the time scale of reduction.

Question: How can we comment on this?

16. PP016 – Reducing emissions of NO_x and SO₂ from shipping

Defra says nothing on the time scale of reduction.

Question: How can we comment on this?

Defra’s report does not meet the requirements of Annex IV of Directive 1996/62/EC

Annex IV of the Air Quality Framework Directive (1996/62/EC) (AQ FWD) identifies information to be included in the local, regional or national programmes for improvement of ambient air quality. This must include details of those measures or projects adopted with a view to reducing pollution:

- listing and description of all the measures set out in the project
- timetable for implementation
- estimate of the improvement of air quality planned and of the **expected time required to attain these objectives**

The subsequent 2004/224/EC Commission Decision made clear that where limit values and/or limit values plus the margin of tolerance are exceeded that the plans and programmes must include at least the information listed in Annex IV to Directive 1996/62/EC.

The information in the draft report on plans and programmes does not include, for example, an “Estimate of the improvement of air quality planned and of the expected time required to attain these objectives” and so does not comply with these legal obligations.

CAMPAIGN FOR CLEAN AIR IN LONDON

“The cross-party campaign to achieve urgently and sustainably at least World Health Organisation recommended standards of air quality throughout London”

Campaign website: www.cleanairinlondon.org

None of the responses proposed by Defra (or DfT) for NO₂ breaches of limit values plus a margin of tolerance (“Time scale of delivery is the same as that required by the Directive”; “Various”; “Annual”; or “Not determined”) meet the spirit or strict requirement of the above legal obligations. Please ensure that the report so submitted does comply in full with Annex IV and send us a final version of it.

Other

CCAL has the following further points on the consultation:

- First, as highlighted earlier, Defra is undertaking and reporting upon too little monitoring. Why are so few sites included e.g. only one for the NO₂ hourly mean? Furthermore, the extensive reliance on modelling has an inevitable weakness in that it will tend to smooth out the peaks that occur in real life and have a serious health impact on citizens.
- Second, it has been difficult to comment on parts of the draft report such as the ‘Description of the exceedances of the limit value’ since it includes gaps e.g. estimates of the total population exposed to a level above the LV in the reference year.
- Third, there is no indication of the budget and/or detailed implementation plans being committed to put substance behind the 16 listed plans and programmes. Are the latter therefore included simply included for ‘show’?
- Fourth, why is the “plans and programmes” section, in the annex of the draft report, full of circular references that mean nothing e.g. “Time scale is that required by the Directive” (when Defra knows that the deadline specified in the Directive is January 2010)?
- Fifth, what is the basis for Defra stating on page 4 that “In a small number of cases, zones and agglomerations [where] exceedances of the limit value, or limit value plus margin of tolerance do not coincide with local authority AQMAs. In these cases, it is anticipated that limit values will be met by a combination of other national and European measures”? What due diligence has Defra undertaken to substantiate this assertion?
- Last but not least, sixth, CCAL agrees with Defra (Form 5 page 30) that “more needs to be done to meet objectives for nitrogen dioxide” but is not satisfied when Defra goes on to say “we continue our efforts at both national and local levels to ensure that limit values are met in all areas as soon as possible”. CCAL urges the government to comply with legally binding deadlines to reduce air pollution, with no excuses. Otherwise, the government’s bold statements on climate change targets are no more than hollow words.

For the record, CCAL has not seen any evidence yet that the Government has produced a package of plans and/or programmes which, when taken together, will meet EU legal limits for NO₂ throughout London. Indeed, CCAL considers that the government has not yet made reasonable efforts to address this often repeated concern. For this reason, inter alia, CCAL continues to urge Commissioner Dimas to take tough enforcement action against the UK as a Member State in respect of all its breaches of EU air quality legal obligations.

Conclusion

CCAL considers, in answer to Defra’s only question (so called Question 1) on page 8 of the consultation report, “Does the report on plans and programmes to the European Commission accurately reflect the position in the UK”, that the report does not accurately reflect the

CAMPAIGN FOR CLEAN AIR IN LONDON

“The cross-party campaign to achieve urgently and sustainably at least World Health Organisation recommended standards of air quality throughout London”

Campaign website: www.cleanairinlondon.org

position in the UK e.g. Brompton Road. It reflects accurately the position in the UK only to the extent that it shows that the UK Government does not have the political will necessary to meet its obligations under the EU Air Quality Directives to protect the health of its citizens.

The question Defra should have asked for example was “Does the report etc show how the UK will meet EU air quality limit values for NO₂ by January 2010?” The answer to that more proper question would clearly be “No, it does not”.

CCAL records formally in this letter that it would be unacceptable for the government to submit a report to the Commission that does not meet the minimum requirements of Annex IV of the Air Quality Framework Directive (1996/62/EC) (AQ FWD) which include an “estimate of the improvement of air quality planned and of the expected time required to attain these objectives”? CCAL urges the Commission to take infringement action against the UK if it fails to meet fully these clear legal requirements.

With air quality recognised by the European Environment Agency as the biggest environmental health risk, with the Royal Commission on Environmental Pollution estimating in March 2007 that it caused some 24,000 people premature deaths in the UK in 1995/96 compared to some 617 nationally only for passive workplace related smoking and 3,172 deaths per annum nationally from road traffic accidents (2006 data), it is wholly unacceptable that the Government should continue to lack the political will necessary to meet legally binding commitments made nearly 10 years ago.

Finally, thank you for taking seriously our concerns about air pollution in London and giving the issues we raise your particular attention. We recognise that you and others are already taking many steps that will improve air quality across Europe.

With best wishes.

Yours sincerely

Simon Birkett
Principal Contact
Campaign for Clean Air in London

By hand: Winston Fletcher, Chair, The Knightsbridge Association
Carol Seymour-Newton, Honorary Secretary, The Knightsbridge Association

Cc: The Rt. Hon. Gordon Brown MP, The Prime Minister
Commissioner Dimas
The Rt. Hon. the Lord Hunt of Kings Heath, Minister of Air Quality, Defra
Mayor Johnson
Sir Simon Milton, Deputy Mayor for Policy and Planning
Ken Livingstone, Candidate for Mayor of London in 2012
ORGANISATIONS
Amenity Societies
Helen Ainsworth, EU and International Air Quality, Defra
Jenny Bates, London Regional Campaigns Co-ordinator, Friends of the Earth

Page 8 of 10 pages

CAMPAIGN FOR CLEAN AIR IN LONDON

“The cross-party campaign to achieve urgently and sustainably at least World Health Organisation recommended standards of air quality throughout London”

Campaign website: www.cleanairinlondon.org

James Bidwell, Chief Executive, Visit London
John Brewster OBE, Chairman, Port Health and Environmental Services Committee, Corporation of London
Patricia Brown, Chief Executive, Central London Partnership
Robert Buxton, Deputy Chairman, West London Residents Association
Nick Fairholm, Transport for London
David Higgins, Chief Executive, Olympic Delivery Authority
Tim Hockney, Executive Director, London First
Professor Frank Kelly, Kings College London
Dr Michal Krzyzanowski, Regional Adviser, Air Quality and Health, WHO
Sarah Legge, GLA Principal Policy Adviser – Air Quality
Blake Ludwig, Campaign Director, Alliance Against Urban 4x4s
Professor Bob Maynard, Health Protection Agency
Paul McLoughlin, UK General Manager, Zipcar
Philip Mulligan, Chief Executive, Environment Protection UK
Derek Picot, Chairman, The Knightsbridge Business Group
Dragomira Raeva, Air Policy Officer, European Environmental Bureau
Dr Gordon Taylor, Chairman, West London Residents Association
Robert Vaughan, NO2 Plans and Programmes, Defra
Dr Martin Williams, Head of Air Quality and Industrial Pollution Programme, Defra

LEADING POLITICIANS

Peter Ainsworth MP, Shadow Secretary of State, Defra, Conservative
Tim Farron MP, Shadow Secretary of State for EFRA, Liberal Democrat
Mark Field MP, Conservative
The Rt. Hon. Michael Jack MP, Chairman of the Environment and Rural Affairs Select Committee
Susan Kramer MP, Shadow Secretary of State for Transport, Liberal Democrat
The Rt. Hon. Sir Malcolm Rifkind QC MP, Conservative
Joan Ruddock MP, Parliamentary Under Secretary of State
Jonathan Shaw MP, Parliamentary Under Secretary of State and Minister for the South East
Theresa Villiers MP, Shadow Secretary of State for Transport, Conservative
Tim Yeo MP, Chairman Environmental Audit Committee, Conservative
Gerard Batten MEP, London, Independence
John Bowis MEP, London, Conservative
Chris Davies MEP, Liberal Democrat
Robert Evans MEP, London, Labour
Mary Honeyball MEP, London, Labour
Syed Kamall MEP, London, Conservative
Ms Jean Lambert MEP, London, Green Party
Baroness Ludford MEP, London, Liberal Democrat
Linda McAvan MEP, Labour
Claude Moraes MEP, London, Labour
Charles Tannock MEP, London, Conservative
Richard Barnes AM, Deputy Mayor and Leader of the Conservative Group, GLA
Tony Arbour AM, Conservative
Gareth Bacon AM, Conservative
Andrew Boff AM, Conservative
Victoria Borwick AM, Conservative
James Cleverly AM, Conservative, Conservative
Brian Coleman AM, Conservative
Roger Evans AM, Conservative
Kit Malthouse AM, Deputy Mayor for Policing, Conservative
Steve O’Connell AM, Conservative

Page 9 of 10 pages

CAMPAIGN FOR CLEAN AIR IN LONDON

“The cross-party campaign to achieve urgently and sustainably at least World Health Organisation recommended standards of air quality throughout London”

Campaign website: www.cleanairinlondon.org

Richard Tracey AM, Conservative
Jenny Jones AM, Leader of the Green Group, GLA
Darren Johnson AM, Chair of the Environment Committee, GLA, Green
Len Duvall AM, Leader of the Labour Group, GLA
Jeanette Arnold AM, Labour
John Biggs AM, Labour
Nicky Gavron AM, Labour
Joanne McCartney AM, Labour
Murad Qureshi AM, Labour
Navin Shah AM, Labour
Valerie Shawcross AM, Labour
Mike Tuffrey AM, Leader of the Liberal Democrat Group, GLA
Dee Doocey AM, Liberal Democrat
Caroline Pidgeon AM, Liberal Democrat
Councillor Colin Barrow, Leader of the Council, WCC, Conservative
Councillor Danny Chalkley, Transport and Environment, WCC, Conservative
Councillor Merrick Cockell, Leader of the Council, RBKC, Conservative
Councillor Daniel Moylan, Deputy Leader, RBKC, Conservative
Councillor Frances Blois, WCC, Conservative
Councillor Tony Devenish, WCC, Conservative
Councillor Philippa Roe, WCC, Conservative
Councillor Dr Iain Hanham, RBKC, Conservative
Councillor Quentin Marshall, RBKC, Conservative
Councillor Mrs Shireen Ritchie, RBKC, Conservative
LOCAL GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS
Rebecca Brown, Environment Quality Unit, RBKC
Guy Denington, Team Manager, Environment Quality Unit, RBKC
Martin Low, Director of Transportation, WCC
Mike LeRoy, WCC
Mahmood Siddiqi, Chief Traffic Engineer, RBKC